# COULD PHOTON-COUNTING COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY BE A NOVEL IMAGING MODALITY FOR CHALLENGING ENDODONTIC DIAGNOSIS?

# Fernando Fortes Picoli<sup>1,2</sup>, Rocharles Cavalcante Fontenele<sup>1,3</sup>, Jader Camilo Pinto<sup>4</sup>, Walter Coudyzer<sup>5</sup>, Karla de Faria Vasconcelos <sup>1,6</sup>, Amanda Farias Gomes <sup>3</sup>, Maria Alves Garcia Silva <sup>2</sup>, Reinhilde Jacobs <sup>1,6,7</sup>

- 1 OMFS IMPATH Research Group, Department of Imaging and Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, KU Leuven
- 2 Department of Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, GO, Brasil.
- 3 Department of Oral Diagnosis, Division of Oral Radiology, Piracicaba Dental School, University of Campinas, Piracicaba, Sao Paulo, Brazil
- 4 Department of Restorative Dentistry, São Paulo State University, School of Dentistry, Araraquara, São Paulo, Brazil
- 5 Department of Radiology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.
- 6 Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- 7 Department of Dental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

#### **Conventional detector**



electrical signal

# **Photon-counting detector** X-ray photon

#### ÷. ÷ Semiconductor Charge cloud Θ Θ Θ

#### Direct conversion to electrical signal

#### **Table 1.** Scanning parameters and effective dose for adults of the imaging devices tested according to the different protocols

Imaging devices	Protocol	Voxel (mm)	FOV (cm)	Tube voltage (kV)	Exposure time * tube current (mAs)	Effective dose (mSv) adults
3D Accuitomo 170	Standard	125	8 x 8	90	154	0.13
3D Accuitomo 170	High- resolution	0.08	4 X 4	90	154	0.04
NewTom VGi evo	Standard	125	8 x 8	110	20.96	0.03
NewTom VGi evo	High- resolution	0.10	5 x 5	110	24.96	0.01
Photon- Counting CT	Not applicable	0.20	8 x 8	120	64	0.85

## OBJECTIVE

To assess the diagnostic ability of photon-counting computed tomography (PCCT) in comparison to two cone-beam computed tomographic (CBCT) devices with industrial CT acting as a reference for challenging endodontic diagnostic tasks.





Figure 1. Cropped images representing the assessment of isthmus (A) and cracks (B) for all devices and protocols tested. The examiners were blinded for the device, but not for the task.









**OMFS** 

	)		
	Examiner 1	Examiner 2	Examiner 3
Examiner 1	0.91	0.95	0.96
Examiner 2		0.83	0.82
Examiner 3			0.90



**Table 2.** Mode values of visualization assessment of delta, narrow canal, isthmus, and root cracks

			High Resolution	on (HR)	Standard Resolution (SD)		
Endodontic task	Endodontic task Control		3D Accuitomo 170	NewTom VGI evo	3D Accuitomo 170	NewTom VGI evo	
Delta	1*	2-3	1*	3	2	2-3	
Narrow canal	1*	1*	1*	2	2	2	
lsthmus	1*	1*	1*	1 - 2*	2 - 3	3	
Endodontic tasks grouped <sup>1</sup>	1*	1*	1*	2	2	2	
Crack	1*	2	1*	3	3	3	
* Indicates statistical similarity with the reference industrial CT scan, which is shown as 'Control' (1.0 – appropriate for visualizing the anatomical structures); <sup>1</sup> Indicates the endodontics tasks (delta, narrow							

canal, and isthmus) grouped.

## DISCUSSION

• • Innovative • Promising • Experimental results

• Extracted teeth x clinical scenario • • • Higher effective dose

Although the proposed diagnostic tasks were challenging, the PPCT results obtained in the current study were promising. Our results showed similar performance of the PCCT with the reference image for most diagnostic tasks, except for the cracks. Overall, this good diagnostic performance was only observed for one CBCT device in its highresolution protocol. PCCT performed somewhat inferior for crack detection, which could be expected due to its larger voxel size as compared to typical crack sizes (< 200  $\mu$ m).

## CONCLUSION

![](_page_0_Picture_39.jpeg)

Photon-counting CT and one CBCT HR protocol showed a similar performance to the reference industrial CT for detection of challenging endodontic diagnostic tasks, with this HR CBCT protocol being superior for crack detection as compared to PCCT and other CBCT protocols.

### REFERENCES

![](_page_0_Picture_42.jpeg)

1. Pinto JC, Wanderley VA, de Faria Vasconcelos K, Leite AF, Pauwels R, Nadjmi M, et al. Evaluation of 10 Cone-beam Computed Tomographic Devices for Endodontic Assessment of Fine Anatomic Structures. Journal of endodontics. 2021;47(6):947-53. Vanden Broeke L, Grillon M, Yeung AWK, Wu W, Tanaka R, Vardhanabhuti V. Feasibility of photon-counting spectral CT in 2. dental applications-a comparative qualitative analysis. BDJ Open. 2021;7(1):4.

3. Belmans N, Oenning AC, Salmon B, Baselet B, Tabury K, Lucas S, et al. Radiobiological risks following dentomaxillofacial imaging: should we be concerned? Dento maxillo facial radiology. 2021;50(6):20210153.

Oenning AC, Jacobs R, Salmon B, Group DR. ALADAIP, beyond ALARA and towards personalized optimization for 4. paediatric cone-beam CT. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2021;31(5):676-8.

![](_page_0_Figure_47.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_48.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_49.jpeg)