

Convolutional neural network-driven tool for automated segmentation of maxillary alveolar bone on cone-beam computed tomography images

Conventional segmentation of maxillary alveolar bone

Inherent **limitations**

- Laborious task
- High subjectivity Operator-dependent
- Sensitive to the inherent image quality limitations of CBCT

 **Potential solution**



Development of **CNN-based tool** to perform an **automated segmentation**


Development and validation of the CNN model

 **Training** 99 CBCT scans


 **Validation** 12 CBCT scans


 **Testing** 30 CBCT scans

AI vs Manual segmentation approaches

 30% of the testing sample (n=9 CBCT scans)

⇒

 Accuracy

 Time-consumed

Overall performance – AI segmentation



Segmentation highly accurate



95% Hausdorff distance (HD)

0.28 ± 0.02 mm



Dice similarity coefficient (DSC)

$95.4 \pm 0.6\%$

AI vs Manual segmentation

1. Accuracy



Manual segmentation showed slightly better performance



2. Time-analysis

The AI method was 116 times faster than the manual method

Conclusion

Albeit the manual segmentation showed slightly better performance, the innovative CNN-driven tool provided a highly accurate and fast automated segmentation of the MAB and its crestal contour.